

WALKERTOWN TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

@ WALKERTOWN BRANCH LIBRARY

TUESDAY APRIL 27, 2004 7:00 P.M.

Please visit our website: townofwalkertown.com

MINUTES

PROCEDURAL ITEMS:

ITEM #1:	CALL TO ORDER	By Mayor Kenneth Davis
(1)	DETERMINATION OF QUORUM	Quorum present
(2)	INVOCATION	By Rev. Phil Wagoner Walkertown Baptist Church
(3)	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	By Councilman Horace Warner

Present were: Mayor Davis, Councilmembers Larrimore, Warner and Welch, Staff Linville and McKinnie and Attorney Garland.

Absent was: Dot Duggins, on vacation.

ITEM #2: AGENDA ADDITIONS / CHANGES / APPROVAL

MOTION: TO APPROVE AGENDA AS PRESENTED

BY: Horace Warner

SECOND: Sarah Welch

VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch, Larrimore)

PUBLIC SESSION: Please limit your comments to three minutes.

Public Session was opened at 7:08 p.m. with five speakers.

- 1) Carole Wilson
2970 Avalee
Walkertown NC

"I just wanted to say that I am opposed to the subdivision off Old Hollow Road. I think it's going to make a tremendous amount of traffic. It's going to affect the schools. I was also told that if I did not oppose this, that the road that was going between my property and somebody else's property would be closed and I didn't really want to say much about that but I am like, "How can somebody tell me that when they don't How can he tell me that?" I just want to know how can somebody tell me if I don't oppose something, he won't let a road be open between my house and somebody else's house, which is be right alongside my screen porch, you know? I just want to know how this can be. That's pretty much what I have to say."

- 2) Helen Peddycord
2976 Avalee
Walkertown NC

"I hope I can cut this to three minutes. Number one concern is certainly traffic. Number two is the school safety.

Our schools are overcrowded now – even with a new middle school and a new high school coming in, that’s not going to solve our problem. Our elementary and middle school now share an SRO officer. SRO officers have been cut in the county of Forsyth. Another question I have is the town office that we have here in Walkertown. At one time we had an officer serving for our town. We still have the facilities that we’re paying for, but we don’t have that officer. Forsyth County is divided into four quadrants – Zone 1 through 4. Walkertown is considered Zone 1. That’s not just Walkertown, not just the city of Walkertown, according to the news media, this is available for everyone. Zone 1 includes, like I said, a quarter of Forsyth County. At any given time, according to the media and reports that are available to us, at any given time, there are only two officers with Forsyth County Sheriff’s Dept. serving in those quadrants. My question is, with the population coming in and the business growth that we’re experiencing, are we going to be able to – is the county and the town going to be able to provide safety, not just for our schools, but for our homes too? Fire protection, police protection, and rescue protection. If one of those officers is out, sick or on vacation or in training or tied up in a court case, that leaves us with one officer covering one quadrant of the county. The development that – and I’m not sure of the name of it – can anyone tell me the name of the development that’s going, proposed, by Mr. Sowers? Whitehall?? Okay. These homes are relatively low-scale homes by today’s standards, in comparison to what the population, I wonder, in my mind, I’m wondering, what population is this type of housing, low-scale housing, going to attract? The other thing, from what I understand from some people – I’m getting two different stories from different folks, so, I understood at the beginning that Mr. Sowers was going to provide a retirement community, and then the housing project behind it. Now I’m hearing there’s not going to be a retirement area there. So, my question is what’s going to happen to that road frontage? The most profitable thing for Mr. Sowers, as a developer, is to zone that as “Business” and at this time, that whole area is not in the town of Walkertown ---- it’s in the county, also, of Forsyth County. So it would be to his best advantage to zone it, to have it zoned as “Business” eventually when this area is built up and then to incorporate that whole area into the town of Walkertown. How are we going to be able to provide services for these folks?.....”

Mayor: “Helen, your three minutes are up.....”

Ms. Peddycord: “Are you kidding!????!! I’ve got a whole page.....Good grief!!!!.....”

LAUGHTER ALL AROUND

Mayor: “You sure you rehearsed that speech??”

Ms. Peddycord: “No.....”

3) Rachael Peddycord
2997 Avalee
Walkertown NC

“I have a question because I’ve heard different stories. What kind of development is this supposed to be? What, what....? Is this single-family homes; is it.....? Does anybody know?”

Mr. Larrimore: “Yeah. He brought drawings out here and solicited.....”

Rachel: “.....Well, I heard from the letter he sent..... I heard it was supposed to be single-family homes and a retirement community. What I’m afraid of is Walkertown is going to end up becoming ----- I’m definitely opposed to it ---- a lower income area!! Although the finer houses are in the surrounding areas and I do not want to pay higher taxes and my land value go down. I’m very concerned! And the traffic is already awful. And I did move here with my family because it was a small town and it was quaint and it’s, you know, for the almighty dollar, it’s growing too fast. And I just do not want to see lower-income housing bought here in Walkertown and my land value go down. Walkertown’s a very nice area but it’s very quickly becoming the lower income area to live. And, that’s one of my main concerns. Thank you.”

4) Ilena Dillon
840 Walkertown-Guthrie Rd.
Walkertown NC

“Again, the issue we have is not begging for charity. It is that your phrase you’re using “*Waiving of fee for rezoning*” --- that is not the issue! It is correcting a mistake that Forsyth County public officials did!! They injured our land-owning rights and it’s just simply to correct ---- and why cannot that term be used? A mistake! Not rezoning! A mistake – correcting a mistake!! It’s simple – it’s clear – it’s straightforward and we’re not begging for charity, we’re asking for right of land owners!!! Period!!!”

5) E. A. Jumper
5028 Klondike Rd.
Walkertown NC

“I’m sure our newspaper was correct but I had never heard about duplexes being considered in this Whitehall development til I read the newspaper. In most of my experiences, duplexes are rental property. Not once have I heard brought up by anyone --- on the council, the man himself, or anyone else! Can anyone here clarify for me

why the duplex has been added to it and is it, in fact, going to be considered rental property in with this so-called upscale homes that's going to be built?"

Mayor: "I cannot, Mr. Jumper. Can you, Toby?"

Mr. Linville: "I haven't read the article."

Mr. Larrimore: "I'm reading the article right now. I don't see what you're talking about."

Mr. Jumper: "Well, you're not reading the article I read then because it very plainly says "duplex".

Lynn: "The article you're reading, Wallace, is one I cut out of "*The Business Journal*", a weekly paper.

Mr. Larrimore: "We saw the drawings. Everybody that was invited to the meeting saw the drawings."

Mr. Jumper: "I'm not talking about drawings; I'm asking you, does "*duplex*" mean *rental property*? ? They put it in the paper, it's going to be duplexes, town houses, and single family dwellings. Duplex means two different houses built under one roof, I guess is the easiest way to say it. I believe you could understand it that way. You're an engineer so I think you'd have a problem with that.... But....."

Mr. Larrimore: ".....Yeah...I would understand that, Mr. Jumper....."

Mr. Jumper: ".....Okay....then that has never been brought up. Is it really in this presentation he made to the town or was it an oversight by the newspaper? I haven't seen a correction."

Mayor: "Let me correct one thing --- or not correct --- but let me..... he made available his plans to the town but please understand, and you well know, having served here, his presentation that will be meaningful, will be to the Forsyth County Planning Board. What he presents to them is, I mean, I don't know how the presentation will be so I don't know if there is actually any duplexes involved or not. Do we Steve...?"

Mr. Garland: "I just wanted I don't know about this specific development but if your question is, 'Are there duplex town homes that are owned by people?', the answer is "yes".

Mr. Larrimore: "Yes."

Mr. Garland: "I understand what you are saying which is that you would commonly understand them to be rental facilities and sometimes they are but you can, much like you can do condominiums which people own that look like apartments, you can do duplex town homes. And the fact that they're town homes would mean that they would be owned by the different owners and they would have a party-wall agreement about the common area in between. I'm not taking an argument one way or the other, I'm just explaining that you can have

Mr. Jumper: ".....I understand it goes both ways. I was just curious why it came out from under wraps what he'd been --- whether it was a misquote by the paper or whether he'd actually been planned to go that way or not. I do have the article if anybody wants to read it. Thank you."

Mayor: "I believe the consensus is that no one in this group knows."

Mr. Larrimore: "Well, I know that Joyce and I have a daughter that lives in what you talking about -- it cost over \$300,000."

Helen Peddycord: "Where is that area?"

Mr. Larrimore: "Atlanta!"

Ms. Peddycord: "No comparison....no comparison!"

Mr. Larrimore: "But, it's a duplex."

Public Session was closed at 7:20 p.m.

OLD BUSINESS:

ITEM #1: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**

Town Council Minutes for:
(1) Regular Meeting of APRIL 13, 2004

MOTION: TO APPROVE REGULAR MEETING OF APRIL 13, 2004
BY: Horace Warner
SECOND: Sarah Welch
VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch, Larrimore)

Mr. Larrimore: "I will say....I commend our secretary that 24 typed pages – and some of us just ramble on and on and on and I'd like to commend her for getting it all on paper!"

Mayor: "Thank you, Ms. McKinnie!"

Mr. Warner: "I agree with that – very well."

Festival Committee Meeting Minutes for:
(1) April 7, 2004
(2) April 14, 2004

MOTION: TO APPROVE FESTIVAL COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR:
APRIL 7, 2004 AND APRIL 14, 2004
BY: Wallace Larrimore
SECOND: Sarah Welch
VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch, Larrimore)

Agenda Setting Meeting Minutes for:
(1) April 6, 2004

MOTION: TO APPROVE AGENDA SETTING MEETING MINUTES FOR:
APRIL 6, 2004
BY: Wallace Larrimore
SECOND: Horace Warner
VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch, Larrimore)

Mr. Warner: "One quick question, as far as the agenda. After that meeting, are the agenda items posted on the website that the citizens can click in and find out what's coming up."

Lynn: "When I get the agenda done, it goes on the website."

NEW BUSINESS:

ITEM #1: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**
Request to Waive Zoning Fee – Robert and Ilena Dillon

DISCUSSION:

Mayor: "Ms. Dillon just spoke to this item during the Public Session."

Mr. Larrimore: "Since this was a mistake, I think we ought to wave any fees and get it back to the way she wants it."

Mr. Warner: "I agree with you but I spoke with Mr. Simmons about this issue and this thing gets more complicated every time I open my mouth about it in that, first of all, Ms. Dillon is asking that something be restored that we didn't change. Is that right? We don't have the authority to restore that. We do have the authority, as you've indicated, to waive the fee that she may go through the process. But by doing that, we can waive the fee, which I'm perfectly willing to do

but then that does not guarantee that she gets the correction that she's wanting because then she can only file and come back through the process to re-establish her zoning. Because we do not have the authority at that point to zone that without it coming through the process and that's what the \$350 fee is for. Do I understand this correctly?"

Mr. Garland: "It is correct but --- that's what she's doing is, in order to get it back to where it was, to correct the mistake, she has to go through the rezoning process."

Mr. Warner: "See, that's what bothers me. I'm happy to waive the \$350, personally, I'm happy that we waive the \$350 fee, but we do not have the power and authority to guarantee that she's going to be made whole by"

Mr. Garland: ".....Well, no and then this action's not going to do that. If you were to approve the waiving of the fee, she would then make the application and have to go through -- nothing you're doing tonight guarantees that she's successful....."

Mr. Warner: ".....That's right. In other words, I want that to be understood, if whatever action we take is, we do not have that opportunity to do... And only the City-County group does. I spoke with them and I got more confused any way I look at it....."

Mr. Garland: ".....No! No! No! No, let me back up. She is within your jurisdiction now. Your zoning jurisdiction. You will take up the rezoning case. It will start at your Planning Board and come to your council. The county no longer has jurisdiction. They couldn't correct the mistake. The only way to correct the mistake is for her to go through the rezoning."

Mr. Warner: "And because of the Open Meeting Laws, I have no feeling of how other people would rule one way or the other. What I'm saying is, I hate to say I give you something unless I have it in my hand and hand it to you. I can waive that fee and she can go through the process but then it goes back to Mr. Simmons, what further confused me was, I asked him about this. How the mistake was made. And why. And I even went to the point of saying what authority do you answer to. And he told me. And I get no relief there. And then going back to it, he says that he wasn't sure about the notice was not given, letters were not mailed. I asked him then, "Do you send these letters out by certified mail?" He said, "No" -- they didn't. I think really the records have been lost on this, is what I'm saying. I don't mean that as an accusation to anyone but I'm saying this: we've got a situation here that I just don't know what to do with!"

Ms. Dillon: "Evidently, no one else does either. Because why should Ilena have to apply to go through the zoning board when she didn't apply to be victimized in the first place. You're saying again, "Apply! Pay money. Apply! Pay money!"

Mr. Garland: "Well, again. That's the only thing that can be done to solve the problem and correct the mistake."

Ms. Dillon: "Well, get you an education and find a better way of doing things, other than a dollar! A dollar is six inches ---- live a little farther than that!"

Ms. Welch: "The Planning Board that you would go to, would be this Planning Board here in Walkertown -- not back to Winston-Salem. We can waive the charges, the fees, and then you would go to Ms. Leight over there, and her committee and let them work on it and then it would come back to us. But, that's the only way that I can see right now and that's what I've come to the conclusion of. Just like he says, it's up to us to correct all the mistakes that somebody else makes!"

Mr. Warner: "I didn't mean to cause confusion, but I wanted a clear understanding that we do not have and there's no way that I can find to go back and get that corrected as such; that is, restored back its original and then updated to the change. What I'm trying to say is this, we'll be glad to waive the \$350 ---- I would! ---- waive the \$350 but there again, I can't go further than that in guarantees, other than come through the right process. Is that correct?"

Mr. Garland: "That is correct and that's what I said last time. And that's we have understood all along. Again, it's them having to go through the steps to get it rezoned again. It's the only way I am aware of to fix the problem. I believe it's the only way that Glenn Simmons has suggested to fix the problem and, again, I don't see another way to do it to correct the

mistake. There's just no other way! But, I think to correct the mistake, it's --- when the mistake was made, that the person, you know, who's dealt with it, I agree with ya'll, that to correct the mistake it shouldn't be their cost."

Mr. Warner: "Right. Mr. Mayor, having said all that I move that we waive that \$350 filing fee, if that be satisfactory with Mr. and Mrs. Dillon but the understanding should be that there is no further promises on our part, or obligations that we can do. Something we don't have the power to do."

Mayor: "Okay. There's a motion on the floor to waive the fees without any guarantees, I believe is what you're saying."

Mr. Warner: "Well, that's it -- with an understanding that there's no guarantee that we can restore it back to that because we don't have that authority or don't have that opportunity to do it."

Mayor: "Okay, the motion is to waive the fees with an understanding that the rezoning application would have to be made and go through the process. But there would not be fees involved in that, is that pretty much what your motion says?"

Mr. Warner: "That's right."

Mr. Larrimore: "I will publicly say that I will do anything to help them get out of this mess and I am sure our Planning Board will do the same thing!"

Ms. Welch: "I think we all will."

Mr. Warner: "I think we all have that feeling but there again we can't dictate to the Planning Board what they recommend to us without approval...."

Mr. Larrimore: "....Oh, no! I'm not saying that. But I said I would *help*....."

Mr. Warner: ".....Now, we can make the final action....."

Mr. Larrimore: ".....In any way I could....."

Ms. Welch: "There's just steps that we have to go through to be legally protected."

Mr. Warner: "And then the other thing that our counsel advised us on as far as setting a precedent, if another situation like this comes up, I would be much surprised! I believe he pointed out it's been ten years since this had happened and no one else has come forward. They had one other case in the county so I don't think that we have to worry about setting a precedent. And if we did have to set a precedent here, I would do the same thing at the next time -- be willing to waive the fee -- but we could do it only with what our authority allows us to promise."

MOTION: TO WAIVE THE \$350 FILING FEE FOR ROBERT AND ILENA DILLON WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS NO GUARANTEE TO RESTORE IT BACK TO THE ORIGINAL

BY: Horace Warner

SECOND: Sarah Welch

VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch, Larrimore)

Mayor: "Item #1 passes unanimously. Then this will go before the Planning Board. When is your next meeting, Ms. Leight?"

Peggy Leight: "May 18th?"

Mayor: "Probably be the June before it would get there....."

Ms. Leight: ".....It's my opinion, though, that the application process you have to go through and get, there has to be a recommendation, yay or nay, from the Staff. I don't know how long that process will take."

Mayor: "I knew it wouldn't be May. No way it could be that fast!"

Mr. Warner: "Let me ask one more question. Is there anything else we can do? Looks like to me that that's as far"

Mr. Garland: ".....At this point, no. I mean, again, it seems like this is the first step toward correcting the mistake, and, again, each step has to be taken properly, otherwise, somebody could come back and challenge it. You certainly don't want that to happen if we're trying to

correct a mistake.”

Mr. Warner: “No. Because that didn’t help the client.”

ITEM #2: FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:

Recycling Bids for Walkertown

DISCUSSION:

Mayor: “If you’ll note, the recycling bids were in our previous ---- they’re in our minutes.”

Ms. Welch: “If we go according to who was the lowest bidder, our former people who used to pick it up, still gave a good bid of \$2.00 a month. And that would be two pick-ups, correct? Is that the way it was, Toby? You said \$2.00 a month and every other week.”

Mr. Warner: “And every other week, that would give us, like, what, three extra, or four extra a year, wouldn’t it? In other words, it wouldn’t do just a straight 26.....well, it would be 26 pick-ups. Does that mean that the date would change as you cycle through there? That might be confusing.”

Mr. Linville: “I’m sorry??”

Mr. Warner: “If we said, bi-weekly, every other week, then that means it would cycle through as far as the calendar, changing time, as far as calendar date; would that be confusing or not?”

Mr. Linville: “I think it’s probably the best way to say it is every other week.”

Mr. Warner: “Say every other week, regardless of date? It’d be on the same day of the week, but every other week?”

Mayor: “So, it’s 24 and not 26?”

Mr. Garland: “No. It’s 26.”

Mr. Warner: “No. It’d be still 26.”

Ms. Welch: “It would be 26 weeks. Pick-ups.”

Mayor: “I know that’s the way it is ---- because I’ve been paid that way before. I know what you’re saying. But, if it’s twice a month --- it’s 24. If it’s every other week, it’s 26. That’s what I was asking, what you want?”

Mr. Warner: “What we’re talking about is every other week, right?”

Mayor: “Um hmmm.”

Mr. Warner: “Course we’re paying by the month anyway and so each month they get.....”

Mayor: “.....Yeah, this says bi-weekly. I’m assuming that means every other week.”

Mr. Warner: “...I guess the question comes down to this: there will be a time when they will make three pick-ups in a month. Does that mean they’re going to bill us for three pick-ups?”

Mayor: “Says \$2.00 a month!”

Mr. Warner: “It’s on a monthly basis – they just bill it once a month regardless of how many times they pick up? That’s good.”

Ms. Welch: “How soon should we start?”

Mr. Linville: “July one.”

Ms. Welch: “Right away?”

Mr. Linville: “July one.”

Ms. Welch: “Beg your pardon?”

Mr. Linville: “**JULY ONE!**”

Ms. Welch: “July?”

Mr. Linville: “With the next budget. We haven’t budgeted for this.”

Ms. Welch: “That’s what I thought we’d have to have and they could start July one. Okay.”

Mr. Warner: “By having it there, July one, and we have the budget workshop coming up where we’d see the impact on it as far as revenue as such --- be sure that we can afford it and I think we can. I think we should vote on it.”

Mayor: “Is there a motion concerning this business?”

Ms. Welch: "Hearing none, I would like to make a motion that we accept Waste Management's \$2.00 a month, bi-weekly, or every other week – whichever we want to call it – providing our budget can clear it by July 1st. Correct?"

Mayor: "Okay."

Ms. Welch: "Okay."

Mayor: "Ms. Welch has made a motion that we to begin to accept the bid of Waste Management and I think she was saying contingent upon our budget restraints. And if all goes well with our budget process, that it would begin with July 1 of this year. Is that correct?"

Ms. Welch: "That's correct."

Mayor: "Is that what you second, Mr. Warner?"

Mr. Warner: "Yes."

Mayor: "Any further discussion concerning recycling?"

Mr. Warner: "One question for Mr. Linville. Would that give them time, I realize it's not a concrete yes, but would that give them time to be prepared to start on July 1st or the first week of July?"

Mr. Linville: "Yeah. I talked to Waste Management yesterday and they asked if they were still low bidder and I said 'Yes'. She said that would give them plenty of time. She said she could start by the middle of May, I think. July one would be no problem for them."

Mr. Warner: "Having said that, I might mention this, we talking about 1750 households at \$2.00 per month, which would be \$42,000 a year. And I think we ought to let the people know how we're spending their money."

MOTION: **TO ACCEPT WASTE MANAGEMENT'S BID OF \$2.00 A MONTH, BI-WEEKLY, (EVERY OTHER WEEK) CONTINGENT UPON OUR BUDGET RESTRAINTS, TO BEGIN WITH THE WEEK OF JULY 1, 2004**

BY: Sarah Welch
SECOND: Horace Warner
VOTE: Unanimous (Welch, Warner, Larrimore)

ITEM #3: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**
Letter of Facts for George Sowers

DISCUSSION:
The mayor read the proposed Letter of Facts:

TOWN OF WALKERTOWN

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF FORSYTH

TOWN OF WALKERTOWN



APRIL 27, 2004

LETTER OF FACT
FOR GEORGE SOWERS'
WHITEHALL VILLAGE PROJECT

WHEREAS, Mr. George Sowers has submitted plans for a \$21.8-million project to be called the Whitehall Village Project (henceforth known as the "project"); and

WHEREAS, this project is to consist of 130 single-family homes and 88 town homes on a 69-acre tract along Old Hollow Road; and

WHEREAS, Mr. George Sowers has sought valuable citizen input via public informational meetings and has made his plans available through open meetings to the Town Council and to the citizens of Walkertown.

NOW THEREFORE the Town of Walkertown commends Mr. George Sowers for his active solicitation of citizen input for the project and presents this Letter of Facts in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project.

This, the 27th day of April, 2004.

ATTEST BY:

TOWN OF WALKERTOWN

By: _____
Lynn McKinnie
Town Clerk

By: _____
Kenneth R. Davis
Mayor

Mayor: "This is the letter of what's taken place."

Mr. Warner: "Actually, at the last meeting I made quite an issue of the fact that we didn't want to go forth with anything of a resolution saying *support* and such because we did not have the privilege of a public hearing of our citizens. Now, we fortunately tonight have had some speak to this issue. And there again, having said that and all, I think we ought to be very careful how we word any letter of fact – not show any support or for that matter any rejection of it. So, that being said, I'd like to change that last paragraph, eliminating part of that wording and have it read:

Now, therefore, the Town of Walkertown presents this Letter of Fact in consideration of Whitehall Village project.

Then maybe also add to it that:

....acknowledging the citizens speaking in opposition to this project at our town meeting.

If that be appropriate and agreeable with the other council members. My point is this, and I think I may be splitting hairs here when I come down to saying this, if we go back to the point as you read it, that

'the Town of Walkertown commends Mr. George Sowers for his active solicitation of citizen input for the project and presents this Letter of Facts in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project'

which he did. There's no question about that. But I don't know as it is necessary for us to say we *commend* him for what he did and we're going to tell a fact that he did it. But then, we present this Letter of Fact in consideration and then, of course, we need to mention in there because this is the last chance we'll have to speak to this, other than if we want to attend the public hearing – and I don't think we should do that before the Planning Board. We may have to because it has been done in the past but I think this is the citizens' opportunity to go before the City-County so that's what I'd like to say."

Mr. Larrimore: "On April 13th, when it was first in here, it said that

'NC DOT recommendation for connectivity while considering neighborhood traffic concerns'

- that was in there before That they had, he had talked to NC-DOT."

Mayor: "The one thing I advised Ms. McKinnie on --- I don't know what's been done with the D.O.T. and I don't know if any of us actually have any facts to what's been done. I mean, I

don't. If ya'll do, it's time to speak up at this time. I don't have any facts of what discussion has gone on with D.O.T. I have not been involved with any and I don't know if any of you have or not."

Ms. Welch: "I have not."

Mayor: "That was the reason it wasn't in this one. It wasn't that he hasn't, it's just that we don't know of any fact. Only thing we're stating here is the facts as we know them."

Mr. Warner: "I think one of our citizens made mention of the fact of the traffic problem we already have, the infrastructure of schools, and, of course, I appreciate the input of that and that somewhat supports me to say this: that we take out of there anything that would imply, let's say, a recommendation of approval. We want to stay totally neutral on this because, as I say, they will be the ones that'll have the public hearing for the citizens; they will be the ones that will make a suggestion to the county commissioners, again, via public hearing. And then it'll be a done deal when it comes back --- we won't have any part in it at all. In fact, this is the only part we have in it right here and I think we ought to be very careful what we do."

Mr. Larrimore: "I think what she's got here is pretty clear. It's not bragging on it or anything else. Because if we met on it every day for the next two weeks, it'd be fourteen changes."

Mayor: "Did you have any comment, Ms. Welch?"

Ms. Welch: "Well, I kind of agree with Mr. Warner a little bit. It does change the *meaning* just a little bit. That we it doesn't mean that we approve everything he did -- we just acknowledge."

Mr. Warner: "That's right. If I may read this again, as it would be revised:

'Now, therefore, the Town of Walkertown presents this Letter of Fact in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project acknowledging citizens speaking in opposition to the project.'

And the citizens did speak in opposition to it. That way we're conveying the total facts. What he gave, what the citizens have said, and we go from there. I think that would be the right way to approach this since we have no authority on it just like the zoning situation."

Mr. Linville: "Can you read that again?"

Mr. Warner: "Just the last paragraph?"

'Now, therefore, the Town of Walkertown presents this Letter of Fact in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project acknowledging citizens speaking in opposition to the project.'

That gives both sides of the coin."

Mayor: "Are you wanting to make a motion?"

Mr. Warner: "Having read that, does everyone understand it? I do make a motion that we change this and then go ahead and submit it as revised. Now, the first three paragraphs, the WHEREAS, WHEREAS, WHEREAS, there is no change to that at all."

Mr. Larrimore: "The only thing about it, in a business situation like this, you've got to draw a line somewhere. You know, this has been going on for ----- He's come out here and he's presented his case. He's has input; he's talked to people individually; he's done everything else. And that don't reflect in our letter. Only thing reflecting is with a couple of people disagree with."

Mr. Warner: "I thought it did --- where the three WHEREAS paragraphs,

WHEREAS, Mr. George Sowers has submitted plans for a \$21.8-million project to be called the Whitehall Village Project (henceforth known as the "project"); and

WHEREAS, this project is to consist of 130 single-family homes and 88 town homes on a 69-acre tract along Old Hollow Road; and

WHEREAS, Mr. George Sowers **has sought valuable citizen input** (.....here's what you're talking about.....) via public informational meetings and has made his plans available through open meetings to the Town Council and to the citizens of Walkertown.

And then we said:

NOW THEREFORE the Town of Walkertown **presents** this Letter of Facts in consideration.....

You follow me? And then we also add into that the opposition that the people have spoken. That way, we give a fuller story and we pass it on. Yes?"

Mr. Garland: "Can I just make one suggestion, perhaps? In that it's coming after the **Now, therefore** I was thinking that perhaps you might say:

'The Town of Walkertown acknowledges Mr. George Sowers has solicited citizen input for the project'

and then go on to say:

'In addition, citizens have expressed their opposition to the project at a town meeting. The Town presents this Letter of Facts in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project.'

That gets rid of the **commends** which was the term that was probably the one that sounded as if it were in favor it."

Mr. Larrimore: "That makes sense."

Mr. Garland: "But it leaves both the fact that it solicited the comment and the citizens' opposition sort of in the same sentence. Just offering a possibility."

Mr. Warner: "As far as the word, **acknowledge**, the reason I didn't use that was that we had had the three paragraphs saying what he has done, you follow me? So it was a duplication of that....."

Mr. Garland: ".....I understand..... I was just talking a possibility, that's all."

Mr. Warner: "....I was just cutting it to the bone...."

Mayor: "So read it one more time if you would."

Mr. Warner: "You want me to read the first three paragraphs?"

Mayor: "No. I wanted to hear....."

Mr. Warner: ".....The last one that come forth says:

'Now, therefore, the Town of Walkertown presents this Letter of Facts in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project, acknowledging the citizens speaking in opposition to this project.'

And just say no more about what they said or didn't say. They brought up good facts about the infrastructure, the schools and all that, plus the D.O.T. problem. But let's don't go into the detail of that, I don't think."

Mayor: "Let's hear our attorney's version one more time. Steve, if you would....."

Mr. Garland: "Sure. And, again, I'm not trying to speak in opposition as much as I'm trying to find some middle ground.

'The Town of Walkertown acknowledges Mr. George Sowers has solicited citizen input for the project. In addition, citizens have expressed their opposition to the project at a town public meeting. The Town presents this Letter of Facts in consideration of the Whitehall Village Project.'

Mr. Larrimore: "I like that."

Mayor: "How do ya'll feel?"

Mr. Warner: "I still prefer to stay with my original motion."

Ms. Welch: "Well, let's just say that his has more legal sound."

Mr. Larrimore: "Yeah. I'd like his."

Mr. Warner: "But I'm not worried about the *sounding* of it, I'm worried about the message that it gives! I think that's the first consideration."

Mr. Larrimore: "That's why we have a Planning Board."

Ms. Welch: "Yes. But he's not in the city of Walkertown!"

Mr. Warner: "The Planning Board won't be active in this, Wallace. It don't go to us at all."

Mr. Larrimore: "Well, see, we're in fact, sticking our nose in the County's business."

Mr. Warner: "All we're saying is this: he has come out here; we're giving the facts in what he's done and we're acknowledging our people have spoken against it. That's all. Simple as said."

Mr. Larrimore: "Well, the thing about it; on the other hand, you've got a lot of people that spoke for it. You can't"

Mr. Warner: ".....They're not here, though."

Ms. Welch: "They didn't come here tonight!"

Mr. Larrimore: "I'm not --- I'm not arguing your point. What I'm saying is there are more people involved in this. I mean, he had meeting after meeting after meeting on this thing....."

Mr. Warner: ".....Having said that, not to debate you or anything, but we did say at the last meeting that we would entertain this as a Letter of Fact and we would not go forth with the support of resolution. So hopefully they have had some information from that if they were interested enough to follow through on it. We can't go down there and make those people promises. Now, that other developer can – he does – and has. And I'm not going to speak to that issue. That's not my business. My business is just to state facts."

Mr. Garland: "How about this? And, again, you guys stop me if you don't want me to go on. But, what about ---- I mean, it's really set up here as a resolution as opposed to a letter. Okay? I mean, suppose it were a letter without these WHEREAS clauses and all you said were the first three paragraphs, okay? And then another one that said,

'Citizens in opposition to the project addressed the Town Council at a public meeting.'

And, then, that's it! You don't have that last paragraph."

Mr. Larrimore: "Yeah."

Mr. Warner: "Um hmmm. I can accept that because it states the fact very simply said. If it pleases the rest....."

Mr. Garland: ".....Again, I'm just trying to get ya'll....."

Mr. Warner: ".....Yeah. If it pleases the rest. It gets us a little bit off----- Because, like this WHEREAS and all, that gets into a little bit of different jargon and whatever. But what we're saying is, just a letter, saying Mr. George Sowers has submitted plans, and so forth, and then 'This project consists of so and so' and then Mr. George Sowers has sought valuable info....and then of course, you said the last paragraph....."

Mr. Garland: *'Citizens in opposition to the project addressed the Town Council at a public meeting.'*
Sign it 'Sincerely yours'.... Sign the letter."

Mr. Warner: Just leave out the **'Therefore'**. Does everyone on the council understand because I can amend my motion to incorporate that?"

Mr. Larrimore: "I like that."

Mr. Warner: "Does that sound better to you?"

Mr. Larrimore: "Yeah. See, that gets the point across."

Mr. Warner: "I think that would be pleasing to the citizens....."

Mayor: ".....So you're amending your motion to strike the all three **WHEREASES** in the first three paragraphs.....?"

Mr. Warner: ".....And, then, of course,....."

Mayor: ".....Is that what I hear you saying?"

Mr. Warner: "Right. And then we take out the whole last paragraph and put in there what Mr. Garland has said. Can you repeat that for us? As long as we can get it to the clerk and let our citizens get it too."

Mr. Garland: "Right. I was going to give her a copy too. But...."

Lynn: "I got it!"

Mr. Garland: "Okay."

'Citizens in opposition to the project addressed the Town Council at a public meeting.'

was the last paragraph."

Mr. Warner: "That means that's the last paragraph, we don't go into that other about the....., correct?"

Mr. Garland: "That's correct."

Mr. Warner: "So just knock out the other – the citizens have addressed the council. That tells to them we have had opposition come before me and what, and why we don't have the authority to act on it, then we pass it on to them. Okay."

Mayor: "Does everybody understand the motion as you've made it? Okay. Everybody in favor of the letter omitting the WHEREAS in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and also changing the last paragraph....."

MOTION: TO AUTHORIZE LETTER OF FACTS WITH CHANGES BEING:
1) OMIT “*WHEREAS*” IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2, AND 3
2) CHANGE LAST PARAGRAPH TO READ: CITIZENS IN OPPOSITION TO
THE PROJECT ADDRESSED THE TOWN COUNCIL AT A PUBLIC
MEETING.
BY: Horace Warner
SECOND: Sarah Welch
VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch, Larrimore)

REVISED LETTER OF FACTS:
FAXED TO SUZY GALLAWAY OF CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD 04-27-04 BY LYNN

April 27, 2004

LETTER OF FACTS

FOR GEORGE SOWERS’ WHITEHALL VILLAGE PROJECT IN WALKERTOWN, NORTH CAROLINA

This Letter of Facts states that Mr. George Sowers has submitted plans for a \$21.8-million project to be called the Whitehall Village Project (henceforth known as the “project”).

This project is to consist of 130 single-family homes and 88 town homes on a 69-acre tract along Old Hollow Road.

Mr. George Sowers has sought valuable citizen input via public informational meetings and has made his plans available through open meetings to the Town Council and to the citizens of Walkertown.

Citizens in opposition to the project addressed the Town Council at a public meeting.

TOWN OF WALKERTOWN

Toby Linville
Town Manager

ITEM #4: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**
RESOLUTION #04-008
To Authorize Tax Refund in the Amount of \$24.20 to Richard Sexton

DISCUSSION:
None

MOTION: **TO AUTHORIZE TAX REFUND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$24.20 TO
RICHARD SEXTON**
BY: Wallace Larrimore
SECOND: Sarah Welch
VOTE: Unanimous

ITEM #5: FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:

Widening of Esther Lane

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Warner: "Before we entertain discussion on that, can we get some information from Mr. Linville. Has anything developed since we last talked that you might want to share with us?"

Mr. Linville: "Yes. I went beyond the engineer's head and went straight to the money end of the developers and presented it to them. And they seemed interested. I haven't got a price yet. Hopefully, I'll hear something back soon from them, positive, and it'll all happen."

Mr. Warner: "Given that information, I'm the one that much opposed to it because I said let's don't take money from one taxpayer to the benefit of another. And I would say, given that, if it pleases the rest of the council, let's table this and let Mr. Linville continue with the developer there and see if we just stay on the sideline. So I would like to make a motion on that."

Mr. Larrimore: "Well, this is – you know, the man's putting one up there at the top of the hill and down at the bottom of the hill where it's needed worse, you have to go through another development to get to the new development so that's where the problem is coming about, he didn't think he ought to pay for that one. And now D.O.T.'s willing to do it so, with that in mind, I think we could wait and see what our manager finds out."

MOTION: TO TABLE DISCUSSION OF THE WIDENING OF ESTHER LANE TO GIVE TOWN MANAGER TIME TO CONTINUE DISCUSSIONS WITH DEVELOPER

BY: Horace Warner

SECOND: Sarah Welch

VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Welch and Larrimore)

ITEM #6: FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:

Financial Statements for MARCH, 2004

DISCUSSION:

Mayor: "We show Unrestricted Funds of \$1,743,567.02 and under the Restricted Funds, \$976,643.88 for a Grand Total balance of \$2,720,210.90. The back-up data is there with them. Is there any questions for Mr. Larrimore, or our clerk? Or did you have any comments?"

Mr. Larrimore: "Well, we're up-to-date as far as our budget and year-to-date, current year prop---tax --- we're 94% and we got May, June, coming up on July to do it. We've got a lot of work to do on the coming up budget because we've got a lot of – we got that new shopping center; looks like Whitehall's on the back burner but we got other projects that's ongoing that's going to add to our bottom line. So if we don't have a bunch of expenditures, we should always be in good shape in Walkertown."

Mr. Warner: "One question. We'll find out more about this, I think, at the budget workshop. You're talking about adding to the bottom line. We'll have more input on that, be more.....I guess I'm always a little nervous til we get that final tax base figure because last year they came to us with a surprise where they decreased it and we expected it to be increased because of the re-evaluation and all. But then there's something that I've never understood, and I should check into it personally about they've devalued the Centre Stage Shopping Center based on business activity, is that right, Mr. Linville?"

Mr. Linville: "Business personal property."

Mr. Warner: "I thought once you had a building, instead of ever going down, unless it fell in, you upgraded all the time, or increased the value so I'm confused on that. But we'll get more input to that as time goes on. I will make one comment – I do appreciate the clerk's effort in putting more – or keeping very little money in the checking account. I know the return on deposits is

not too much today, but every penny is a penny. And I do thank her for that. I realize that creates more work because you have to transfer funds out to pay the bills, and if you keep it.....”

Lynn: “.....And you got to remember that you’re catching me right in the middle of things so there might be a lot in the account according to this balance, but some of it has already been written out in checks that don’t show up.”

MOTION: **TO ACCEPT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR MARCH, 2004**
BY: **Wallace Larrimore**
SECOND: **Horace Warner**
VOTE: **Unanimous (Larrimore, Warner, Welch)**

ITEM #7: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**
Home Consortium Member Names

DISCUSSION:

Mayor: “This is a project that we discussed last meeting during our work session to set up a committee for the Home Consortium. Councilwoman Duggins has agreed to be the chairman of this committee but in her absence, I feel like we should hold off on trying to appoint members. I don’t know if she’s contacted anyone or not but I think out of respect for that, I think we should do that. Do ya’ll agree?”

Ms. Welch: “I agree.”

Mr. Larrimore: “I agree with you.”

Mr. Warner: “I move we do table that until she’s back and get input from her.”

Mr. Warner: “Now, let me ask a question there, now she will come to us.....”

Mayor: “.....I should take a vote on that, shouldn’t I? Let’s have a vote on that! I’m sorry about that.”

Lynn: “Who seconded that? I couldn’t hear.”

Mayor: “I believe Mr. Larrimore seconded it --- I just failed to get the vote.”

Mr. Warner: “Let me just make one comment there. She will bring to us the names that she has chosen to serve with her, is that correct?”

Mayor: “Or --- well ---- we never did discuss how we would do it. We were just getting a committee of, like, four or so people, four or five people – whatever we want, would like to serve on it.....”

Mr. Warner: “.....And I believe we said at the last minute we’d let her choose those people if that’s.....”

Mayor: “.....I’ve talked to one person who is interested in – said they may be interested in serving, but I didn’t.....”

Mr. Warner: “.....Let them.....They can check with her and communicate with her.”

Mayor: “Oh! That passed, by the way, Ms. McKinnie. I failed to tell you that on the --- I’m sure you can’t hear that on the machine, can you?”

MOTION: **TO TABLE DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION ON HOME CONSORTIUM MEMBER NAMES**
BY: **Horace Warner**
SECOND: **Wallace Larrimore**
VOTE: **Unanimous**

ITEM #8: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**

State Employees Credit Union Teller Machine

- Mayor: "We discussed this last meeting. They were looking for a place to put a teller machine for the State Employees Credit Union and we're just looking into the possibility of putting it at the Town Hall. Mr. Linville, did you have any information to give us on this?"
- Mr. Linville: "I don't have as much as I'd like to but they do have a just stand-alone, standing machine – not one that goes into the wall – but just a fully – she described like a, looks like an old timey arcade machine, about that size. Our lease with Gant does allow us to sub-let but we need their approval and I haven't been able to talk with Roger. I can't see them having a problem with it but I still need to bring it before him. On their end, they'll need to submit a site plan to the building inspections. I think our zoning allows it – just be a normal permitting process. They need one power source, one telephone line and as we get farther into this, they should pay for the renovations, pay for the added electricity, pay for the phone, if they want to do it. And our front steps probably need to be brought up to handicapped accessible specs. So I'm working on it but don't have a yes or no for you right now."
- Mr. Warner: "What about traffic, like, cars coming in to it, or people coming there, and such? Do you see a problem there maybe?"
- Mr. Linville: "I don't know how often it would get used. I can't see it being more than one at a time, though. We could leave space open there for them. (You'll have to move your car, Lynn.)"
- Mayor: "Would the council be in favor of pursuing this? Letting Mr. Linville continue to pursue this, both with Mr. Brewster and with the State Employees Credit Union to finalize some things before we go forward, or what's your pleasure?"
- Mr. Warner: ".....I think that would suit me because I know little about this as far as the ability and security and stuff....."
- Mr. Larrimore: ".....I think if it's the only choice we got....."
- Mr. Warner: ".....Now, I did mention the fact of security and they said, well, they've got cameras that take care of that, etc. As far as....."
- Mayor: ".....Got what, I'm sorry??"
- Mr. Warner: "Cameras ---- to take care of security and such. We don't have any responsibility there. As far as, let's say, a free-standing machine....."
- Mayor: ".....One thing....."
- Mr. Warner: ".....Somebody just haul it off instead of damaging the building, right? Those are the kind of concerns I have."
- Mayor: "Well, I think one thing that interested them, the fact that the Forsyth County Sheriff's Department does have an office in our building so I think that did interest them also since from a security standpoint....."
- Ms. Peddycord: "Ya'll do have a town officer?"
- Mr. Larrimore: "No."
- Mr. Warner: ".....Helps some! While that's brought up, let me speak to that just a little bit. You mentioned that earlier, we did have at one time, one assigned to this area. We started out with a federal grant of, what, \$42,000? And then after the grant ran out, we took it over. Then early in the game, some five years ago maybe, that was going up to \$54,000 and, I don't know, it's probably more than that now. At that time, that's where we had to choose to say not spend that money. We didn't think we were getting that much out of it. I appreciate you making mention of that because there may be a time we want to re-address that. I guess they still have that same program because I think Clemmons and various other municipals hire several. Course they're a little more affluent than we are and have a little more money. The thing about it is that at time we just said we want to spend our money where we get full value and at that time we felt like we could use our money elsewhere, otherwise."
- Mr. Larrimore: "They do have an office, telephone, restroom, the whole ---- in fact, a coke machine. But their main office is Mickey's. You can usually see about four there plus some highway patrolmen and whatever."
- Mayor: "What is the council's pleasure concerning this credit union teller machine?"

Mr. Warner: "Let him, as you suggested, proceed with checking of this and come back with a recommendation that we either do or don't."
Mayor: "Hopefully, by our May meeting, we should have – he should have some indication both from the landlord, which is Gant, and inspections. And then, also, I think we should be given the information concerning whether they would be willing to pay for the modifications. Is that everyone's understanding?"
Ms. Welch: "That's my understanding."
Mr. Larrimore: "That's okay with me."
Mayor: "I guess we should have a motion on that to be perfectly legal, right, Steve?"
Mr. Garland: "Sure."
Mr. Larrimore: "I makea motion.....that we....."
Mr. Warner: "The motion being.....that we.....authorize the manager to continue to research the teller machine with the Gant and various other things – I hate to name them all -- that we need to be concerned with and come back to us with a recommendation."

MOTION: **TO AUTHORIZE TOWN MANAGER TO RESEARCH PROSPECT OF PUTTING A STATE EMPLOYEES CREDIT UNION TELLER MACHINE AT TOWN HALL WITH ALL RELATED INFORMATION INVOLVED AND TO COME BACK TO COUNCIL WITH RECOMMENDATION**

BY: Horace Warner
SECOND: Wallace Larrimore
VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Larrimore, Welch)

ITEM #9: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**

Old Warehouse Renovation
1) PTR
2) ASG Engineering

DISCUSSION:

Mayor: "In your packet, we have the revised bills, statements. The first item is the engineering bill that's been removed from the PTR bill. The original bill was \$7,478. And here we see the engineering bill pulled out from the \$7,478 – a \$3,000 bill with a \$500 discount. That originally was \$3,450 – it was reduced to \$3,000 – another \$500 removed from PTR, which we will be paying direct. So that makes the engineering bill from \$3,450 down to \$2,500. That's the first part. And then you'll see on the second part, the remaining balance, which would be \$3,357 – that's on the second page."
Mr. Linville: "Behind that second bill, you'll see a bunch of invoices from Hertz Rentals. And that's the rental fee for the air compressor and jack hammer they used to bust the concrete in the old building."
Mayor: "This is a reduction from our first of additional \$1,621. Is there any discussion concerning these bills – any thoughts as to what to do with them - any action?"
Mr. Warner: "First of all, I think that we're in an unfortunate situation, here have been. But I think our manager and others have fulfilled my request; that is, to negotiate what they could and the council, too. And \$5,857 is still a lot of money. But I think, first of all, we've got to consider protecting the integrity and the image of the people of Walkertown. While I may not like this, may not like the way things were done and all, I've got to put that behind me and say this, that negotiations have been completed is that right? And that's what we asked. Then this way, we protect the integrity. Then, if I might add one little extra of my own. I always say we charge this to *education* and it's getting to the point now that we got pretty well qualified for a PhD degree, don't we??"
Mayor: "At least an MBA!"

Mr. Warner: "Having said that, I don't that anything --- it would benefit anything by continuing this, is that right, manager and council? So, again, to protect the integrity and reputation of the people of Walkertown, we should go ahead and finalize this!"

Mayor: "And how do you propose to finalize it, Mr. Warner?"

Mr. Warner: "I propose that we go ahead and pay those two as billed. You put me in the spot, didn't you???" (LAUGHTER ALL AROUND) "I didn't want to but I had to!! But the thing about it is"

Mayor: ".....Take that tape, Ms. McKinnie ---- make sure that we....."

Lynn: ".....I am going to BRONZE this tape!!!!!! He said PAY SOMETHING!!"

Mr. Warner: ".....May I say this? My point is then, we go education, next time we will know how far to go and what not to do and we'll have to charge this as a learning experience."

Mayor: "Is all that a part of that motion?"

Mr. Warner: "No!! Just go ahead and pay them...."

MOTION: **TO APPROVE TOTAL OF \$5,857.25 IN PAYMENT FOR REPAIR WORK TO OLD WAREHOUSE ON MAIN STREET; ONE PAYMENT IN AMOUNT OF \$3,357.25 TO PTR AND ONE PAYMENT TO ASG ENGINEERING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,500.00**

BY: Horace Warner

SECOND: Wallace Larrimore

VOTE: Unanimous (Warner, Larrimore, Welch)

Mayor: "Motion passes. Pay this bill and charge it off to education, Ms. McKinnie!!"

ITEM #10: **FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION:**
Farmers' Market

DISCUSSION:

Mayor: "Is there any interest? We discussed this *briefly* at the last session. There were several citizens that mentioned it, that it would be good if Walkertown could have an area where both the public and the big-time local vegetable gardeners could gather together. Is there any interest in us sponsoring this as a ---- finding them a place and just bringing the two together? I don't think we're talking about probably any --- we don't anticipate any money expenditures, but is there any interest in the council doing this?"

Ms. Welch: "Well, I did ask one of our local marketers here in town if he would object. He said, "You don't mean down on my property, do you?" and I said, "No. That wasn't mentioned." And he said no, he would encourage and would like to see some competition. He thought competition would be good for him!"

Mr. Larrimore: "I see a couple sitting around on weekends with their trunk open and.... I don't know if they're making any money or not. One of them runs his car and sits by the air conditioner."

Mayor: "Well, this would be something more of a -----"

Ms. Welch: "----Designated area."

Mayor: "Yeah! Designated area. And, of course, if it was designed, it would have to be for fresh vegetables only and not to turn in to a flea market so you'd have to get together -- if the council so desired to do this! That's up to the council and if we could find a place. I don't know if the ---- Saturday mornings are usually the times these things take place but I don't know if the school would allow us to use their parking lot or not. Would ya'll want to pursue this? What's the pleasure of council?"

Ms. Welch: "Well, since gasoline is getting pretty high, maybe we should! Not have to run so far to Sandy Ridge or different -- in Winston-Salem, their Farmers Market on Saturday -- and Kernersville has one."

Mayor: "Did Oak Ridge have one?"

Mr. Linville: "The local bank sponsored one on Friday afternoons."
Ms. Welch: "Now, that may be a thought. I hadn't thought about the banks here."
Mr. Warner: "In sponsoring it, we wouldn't have any responsibility, or liability, or would we?"
Mr. Garland: "Well, I mean, if you provide the place, you would, again, the mayor can tell you the insurance implication....."
Mayor: "Anytime your name is connected, there is a possibility. You'd have – we could have a --- obviously, we could have each one have a "*Hold Harmless*" agreement..."
Mr. Garland: "....But even with that....And again, just to point out; I mean, I have had a situation in Lewisville where merely having your name on something, despite the fact that you've had no real liability, doesn't stop people from suing you, if your name's around. But that's why you have insurance."
Mr. Warner: "Maybe now that we've brought it up, some citizen will come before us before we make a final move, and give us at least their input."
Ms. Welch: "Have we had any farmers yet to really come and ask?"
Mayor: "We had just a couple of citizens and I had one gentleman from the Walkertown Mill indicate that that would be good. He had some customers that said we needed one. Daniel brought it to my attention."
Mr. Warner: "Now, what about the Privilege License situation?"
Mayor: "I think you'd probably be inclined to waive that, wouldn't you, for that, or not?"
Mr. Warner: "Well, that's it. We want to address that question because we don't want to leave the clerk holding the bag."
Mayor: "Right. But I would think that for....."
Mr. Warner: "In the license there, there is a category for Peddler and so we need to look at that for sure."
Lynn: "But you can actually waive who you want to."
Mr. Warner: "For what you'd call, town sponsored, okay....."
Mayor: ".....I would think for just a specific Farmers Market, if you wanted to do it, you could waive it, couldn't you, Steve, without a problem?"
Mr. Garland: "Probably could. Again, I haven't looked at it but I would think you could."
Ms. Welch: "Well. Why don't we just table this again?"
Mayor: "Would we want to look into see if there is a possibility of a place or are you willing to do that or ----?"
Mr. Warner: "I think that would be appropriate that we could see the possibility of a place. This would be during the summer months and you've got the school parking lot. You've got the bank parking lot, which probably wouldn't work unless they sponsored it. As Sarah said, you don't want to put it in the produce man's yard! He wouldn't like that. Kind of give it thought and feel our way around."
Ms. Welch: "Does the Post Office allow???"
Mayor: "Post Office is pretty small. I don't think you'd want try to tie up their parking area. Would the council be inclined to allow us to check with a couple of places that would be available?"
Ms. Welch: "I'll grant you that."
Mr. Warner: "Get the feel of the place and the people."
Ms. Welch: "Let's make a motion?"

MOTION: **TO ASK THE MAYOR AND MANAGER TO CONTACT PLACES, SCHOOLS, OR SOMEBODY TO SPONSOR A FARMERS MARKET OR TO ALLOW IT TO BE HELD ON SUCH PROPERTY**

BY: Sarah Welch

SECOND: Wallace Larrimore

VOTE: Unanimous (Welch, Larrimore, Warner)

OTHER BUSINESS:

#1:

Mr. Warner: "I've got a couple of things and then I will be quiet!"

Mr. Larrimore: "I second that!" (LAUGHTER)

Mayor: "I don't believe we made that a motion!"

Mr. Warner: "You didn't let me finish. I was going to say for a little while. But first thing is, what is the status on our UDO and site plan and sidewalk approval being compatible with the UDO of Kernersville and Clemmons, Lewisville, whatever, which we wanted to transfer that authority. The Planning Board takes their action and then we take our action. And that, I think, is moving through, is that right?"

Mr. Linville: "That's an amendment to the subdivision regulations and it'll go before the Planning Board on May 18th."

Mr. Garland: "May the 18th, I think, and then probably come to your meeting on the.....25th....."

Mr. Warner: ".....And we don't have to do anything to UDO at all?"

Mr. Garland: "Well, that is actually --- it's moving it from a subdivision regulation to a portion of the UDO so it is both an amendment to the subdivision regulations and an amendment to the UDO because that is how you move it up."

Mr. Warner: "Now, after they have their public hearings, then do we, as such, have -- we have to go"

Mr. Garland: ".....You'll have public hearing as well....."

Mr. Warner: ".....We have to go through public hearings here. It's moving along but it will take time. We don't want to leave them holding the bag by any means. Then the other thing I'd like to mention is, and I'm sure that you all have shared this information, that people are coming to me talking about the traffic problems we're having down on 66 and 158. And the question is, can we not ask D.O.T. to at least make a study and see if they can do anything to better that situation? And I think this is during a rush hour time where, obviously, the traffic backs up all the way to 66 Circle and beyond. Then some coming in from 158 there so can we approach D.O.T. with, and I'm sure they've been asked personally, without us doing it, but since the citizens are asking for it, I think we need to do what we can."

Mr. Linville: "I think we could make those widening projects happen sooner than later if we're willing to pay to move the utilities -- to move the power poles out of the way. You know, when we paid for that traffic study last year, they showed us where we needed five lanes and three lanes and, you know, D.O.T. can find, can create projects out of those sections, but....."

Mr. Warner: ".....Don't they have that on their list already to widen to three lanes? Does that go on beyond 158 down 66 out of Walkertown jurisdiction?"

Mayor: "No."

Mr. Warner: "So, see, this traffic is in that area there beyond our jurisdiction. I don't know what the answer is. I would say that goes back into D.O.T.'s lap and not ours."

Mayor: "That would be a great problem but right now it's the only thing that is on the drawing board, as I understand it, is from 158 to Darrow Road, three lane. From Darrow Road to Main Street, five lane. From Main Street to Harley Drive, three lane."

Mr. Warner: "One individual asked me could D.O.T. do something with the timing of the light to give some relief....."

Mr. Linville: ".....I can ask about that but then one side's going to complain. You know, right now, the 66 side's complaining....."

Mr. Warner: ".....That's it. Both sides are being affected though...Where do you go?...."

Mr. Larrimore: ".....You just switch complainers....."

Mr. Linville: ".....That's the curse of having turning lanes, dedicated turning arrows, that you add that much to the cycle."

Mr. Warner: "If they can improve anything with timing, that's about the only option they have, isn't it?"

Mayor: "Well, I think the big issue there – they can increase it if you're going on Old Hollow Road but obviously, if you increase the time it's green on Old Hollow Road, you increase the time it's red on 158 so, so it's not a matter of ---- you can't add minutes to Old Hollow Road, without taking them away from 158 side."

Mr. Warner: "Would there be any opportunity for EPA to do anything about that because they always get involved when you restrain traffic because you're creating pollution. Maybe they could ----."

Mayor: "....But you're restraining on all sides, though, right now."

Mr. Warner: "That's right, but what I'm saying is, would EPA have any measure of getting grants or anything that D.O.T. might help. I mean, that's getting way out in left field, I know but the thing about it is anytime you restrain traffic, they don't like that. They hear you. If you'll remember back on the original, some years ago, they were going to go five lanes, I think, but then the EPA steps in and says, '*Well, we're dropping it down on the list of priorities.*' Do what you can – at least the people will know that we are at least listening to them."

Mayor: "From what I understand, we are still number two on the priority list but that does not have a time table nor does it have money attached to it. And until D.O.T. has a pot of money attached to it, it doesn't mean anything. I mean, you're well up the list on priority but without money attached to it, I mean, there's other projects that come in that get on the list – they get on a different list – and get funded ahead of it. So I don't know how to explain what a priority list means."

Mr. Warner: "Well, my question I'm asking is this, let's do what we can – ask D.O.T. if they can do anything, whatever it is to relieve the problem, or help our people."

#2:

Mayor: "I have one item that – I've been approached by one of the local clubs, said they would be interested in upgrading our signs at the town entrances. I don't know if you're interested in getting with them – with the Civic Club has indicated that they would be interested in talking to us about this to see if we had any interest in working with them. I talked with Keith Grubbs last week and he said they would be interested in doing that."

Mr. Larrimore: "Are you talking about signage.....and shrubbery, whatnot?"

Mayor: "Yes. Well, he didn't mention the shrubbery – he just talked about upgrading the signs rather than having – I don't ---- we didn't go into detail. I told him I would mention it to council and see if you had any interest in pursuing it. They would be interested in, I'm assuming, paying for a large portion of it, to dress up the entrances to the town."

Mr. Warner: "Can I withdraw my statement, and speak???" (LAUGHTER)

Mr. Larrimore: "No! No!! We had already....."

Mayor: ".....He's already voted on that!!"

Mr. Larrimore: "Yeah. We already voted!!"

Mr. Warner: "Some years past, if you're not very careful on those entry signs, then you open up some things that other people come asking to put theirs up on there. Am I right, Mayor Jumper? And we want to be very careful as we do this. I think it's great! We should support it but we don't want to put ourselves in a position to having to obligate ourself to put everybody's sign up there who may come along and asking. Just be cautious as we do it. Thank you."

Mayor: "That's the reason it was mentioned to the council. No action has been taken. So would you want to pursue this at all? Would you not?"

Mr. Larrimore: "Surely they would have a sign or whatever in mind and show it to us or....."

Mayor: "Oh, yeah! It would be designed with the approval of council. I mean, this was just merely mentioned they would be interested in assisting replacing or upgrading the sign, I believe is the actual words that Keith used at the entrances to the town. That's it pure and simple....."

Mr. Warner: ".....Can we legally restrict any updating or revisions of those signs that are already there without getting in trouble?"

Mr. Garland: ".....Again, I haven't seen – I'm sure I've seen the signs, driven by the signs I just don't remember what's on there and what's not on there. I mean, again, I"

Ms. Welch: ".....How many more are there than.....?"

Mr. Larrimore: ".....I think we'd need to move some to get them to our new town limits."

Mayor: "That's probably true."

Mr. Garland: "What you're saying is there are other signs on there, like some would have, like, the Lion's Club.....?"

Ms. Welch: "Yes."

Mayor: "I think right now, basically, we've got like a chain link fence with different clubs and organizations -- which, that's okay, but I think they were talking about maybe an upgraded type sign – totally separate. They weren't necessarily saying, replace it, but it was just a thought thrown out, if we were interested in this idea."

Mr. Garland: "Well, again, I think ya'll would have the final – as long as – the final say over what that sign was like. You could even prevent all signs other than the town limits of Walkertown if that's what you want. I think that's within your discretion."

Mayor: "Is there any discussion or decision as to what you'd like to do? Or would you want to go forward at all? The gag order is NOT in effect! Go ahead, Horace, I know...you're going..."

Mr. Larrimore: "That was a *wish list* not a regular gag order!"

Mayor: "What is it, Mr. Warner?"

Mr. Warner: "I'm just going to raise my hand and vote! As far as that, just as long as we take care and be sure that we don't put ourselves in a situation as others have addressed before."

Mayor: "Would one of you be willing to meet with Mr. Grubbs and contact him further on this or ----?"

Mr. Warner: "I don't know the history of those signs. There are three of them, if I recall, one at 158, one at 311 and 66, and one down on 311, Pine Hall Road. Put it this way, I don't know enough about them to speak to them."

Mayor: "Would anyone want to meet with Keith Grubbs and get more information? Anyone interested in pursuing this or not?"

Ms. Welch: "Well, I'll be glad to meet with Mr. Grubbs if none of them want to."

Mayor: "Okay. Thank you, Ms. Welch, I appreciate that. Would the council be willing to just allow Ms. Welch to meet with Keith Grubbs from the Civic Club to see what way we should proceed? You in favor of that, Mr. Warner, Mr. Larrimore?"

Mr. Warner: "Yes, sir."

Mr. Larrimore: "Um hmmm."

Mayor: "Okay. Let's go forward with that and drop it at that."

#3:

Mayor: "One other item of business, our Day in the Park is on the website. The dates – are the applications on there or not?"

Lynn: "No. We haven't had our meeting yet to finalize them – there's more info that needs to be added to them...."

Mayor: "The date, as a reminder, is August 28th. It is on the website and the applications will be there soon, probably within the next week or two."

MANAGER UPDATE:

Mr. Linville: "They've started paving on Annie, Avalee, and Martin today, and those same streets we talked about, Ruxton, Lakawanna, Darrow, New, Friendly and Shirley Street will also be paved. New and Friendly will probably be paved after the D.O.T. widening project's done."

Mr. Warner: "Do you have any indication on cost at this point? I know before you were using last year's level and we went ahead and said authorize to get it in there."

Mr. Linville: "I hope I'm telling you this right. Our last year's price per ton for asphalt was \$43.00. This year, it's \$47.50. That's based on the rising fuel costs."

Mr. Warner: "Then we'll also have the additional cost in there for raising manholes and such. And, fortunately, we have the fund balance to resort to."

Mr. Linville: "The next thing are three sheets of paper on the 9-point, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. This is the stormwater conference I went to in Asheville last week. I'm going to read a little summary here – this is that single sheet with a paragraph on it:

"The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program was established under Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, which prohibits the unauthorized discharge of pollutants from a point source, which is a pipe, ditch, well, etc., to U.S. waters, including municipal, commercial, and industrial wastewater discharges and discharges from large animal feeding operations. Permittees must verify compliance with permit requirements by monitoring their effluent, maintaining records, and filing periodic reports."

Now, in the spirit of the state and federal government passing down unfounded mandates, this is one of their best ones of late. And we got grouped in with the larger cities because we're in a metropolitan area, based on census data, because of our proximity to Winston-Salem. Now, larger cities have big stormwater systems that are entertained and that, a lot of them discharge directly into streams and they have a lot worse water quality than we do. We took a walking tour of Asheville, through couple of the streams and I think we found three sites that had fecal matter flowing directly into a stream and we didn't have to go far. So, we're in a lot better shape than some so it won't be too bad. I've got another – this is the summary, this two-page, front and back sheet of what this has and I'll let you all read that and hopefully you can understand more. But, this sectioned off sheet here – the six different sections – these are the six parts of this permit requirement. The first is Public Education – now, us going along with the Piedmont Triad Water Quality Partnership – that \$2,000 allocation for commercials and pamphlets, that covers that – done! Public Involvement section – that has us involving maybe a citizen advisory group; getting people involved, like Scout troops, having internships, non-profit groups, clubs, putting information on the website, labeling storm drains. I don't know if you've ever seen these in other cities, where they have like a fish spray-painted on there, it'll say "*Drains to Lakes*" ---- so folks know not to pour motor oil in there because it eventually gets to a body of water that they drink out of."

Mayor: "Could we *name* one of those storm drains? I got one I'd like to name!!!"

Ms. Welch: "I got one I can name too!"

Mr. Linville: "The third part is a list of connections – and that is where, I didn't realize that folks sometimes make mistakes and instead of tying into sewer system, they put their home septic system into the stormwater system. I didn't even know that existed but that's apparently a problem!"

Mr. Larrimore: "That's a licensed plumber!"

Mr. Linville: "Yeah. That part of the process has you doing an inventory of where your mistakes are and then you're trying to remedy them. Construction BMPs – this is one of those programs that has lots of acronyms. BMP's is Best Management Practices and that mainly means, the best way to contain that water so that it's not polluting and retention ponds is one of the large ones. There's all kinds of ways to hold water on site, let it evaporate or slow it down so it doesn't just directly hit the stream. Then Post Construction BMPs – that's where you go back, a lot of these retention ponds get full of silt and you have to make sure that your program has ways to clean that out. And then Municipal Housekeeping – that's street cleaning, cleaning

out your own storm system, flood control. Now, the hardest thing that all of us are going to have to deal with this is that it's okay for water to pond up in your yard. I get more complaints about standing water and that's actually a good thing. That's what this says – it's rit(?) to sit and soak into the ground and evaporate is what you want. I'm hoping that we can, we'll either have to make our own permit, or maybe we might can go in with Winston-Salem as a co-permittee. I haven't made those phone calls yet. I found out today that is possible so we'll see. But if you'll look at the shopping center up here, it's a good example of what this program is trying to do. All their stormwater drains to one retention pond and it keeps it on site and it either stays there, evaporates, or sinks into the ground and that's a good project. And it's been built – thanks to Winston-Salem already having to go through this. You know, that's recommendations from their staff so it's worked out. So....”

Mayor: “Are we up to snuff at this time? We're doing everything we need to be doing!”

Mr. Linville: Absolutely not! We are so far behind and just getting started. We're working on it.”

Ms. Welch: “I would like to say that Landmark, that did the installation behind my house, I didn't realize it was a retention. But the water drains come down 311, through my yard and there is a retention there before it goes into the branch.”

Mayor: “So is there any other action that needs to be taken soon?”

Mr. Linville: “I'll be coming, begging for money, at budget time.”

Mr. Larrimore: “Another thing here, it says *large* animal feeding operation, now, how many animals are we talking about?”

Mr. Linville: “That's a hog farm.”

Mr. Warner: “If we join with Winston-Salem on anything, will we have to charge a stormwater tax like they do?”

Mr. Linville: “We'll just have to see how we're going to set this up. If we decide that we want to do street sweeping and we need an extra couple of employees, then we may have to charge a tax, or a stormwater fee.”

Mr. Warner: “How about, let's say, on development, where we control, can we require retention pond filtration and such?”

Mr. Linville: “Um hmm.”

Mr. Warner: “And, of course, I realize that the one down here, the county now requires this is that right, on a site plan? Because it seems like to me that everywhere it is.”

Mr. Linville: “That's right.”

Mr. Warner: “So we're protected til it comes into our jurisdiction anyway.”

Mr. Linville: “Yeah. Really, as far as the rules go, if we, and we are, we have already adopted them, but if we take the erosion and sediment control rules that are already in the UDO and present them to the EPA, that's part of it. Showing them that half the town is in the Salem Lake Watershed and that we're already practicing, you know, the 24% built upon area and the SIDA and all that. That helps, so..... Don't know if I'm describing that the right way. It's a state designation watershed, like the Cape Fear River, Dan River. Salem Lake is a local drinking water source so that's a local watershed. But that's the only local watershed that we're in – the only one that matters for drinking water. Those other watersheds just explain which way they drain to the ocean.”

Mr. Warner: “We have to take this into consideration as we allocate our SIDA allocations, is that right? And be very careful about what we do and how we do it.”

Mr. Linville: “Well, it depends on how we write these rules up. I mean, it doesn't make it any better or any worse. We have a pot of points and -----“

Mr. Larrimore: “For our information, who is doing that right now? I know the motorcycle shop up there was selling his property and he had a lot beside him. The guy buying the property needed that lot to store his produce before he sold it and when he went to town they said he had to make that lot a retention pond so he backed out of buying it. I just wondered how long, or who's been in charge of that so far?”

Mr. Linville: “We have been. Well ---- the county up to when we took it over. Those stormwater,

sorry, watershed regulations are part of our Unified Development Ordinance. They're there. But anything, it's basically anything south of 66, subject to those rules."

Mr. Larrimore: "Seems like that one just clotheslined him because he thought it was a vacant lot."

Mr. Linville: "He had those two lots so he could make the 24% but he didn't realize why he had to draw it up that way but it was on his site plan."

PUBLIC SESSION: Please limit your comments to three minutes.

Public Session was opened at 8:43 p.m.

- 1) E. A. Jumper
5028 Klondike Rd.
Walkertown NC

"Okay. I'm back again. I'm sure most of ya'll remember WAMA Fall Festival. I'm just going to toss this out. We used to use the right-of-way across from Grubbs, Walkertown Mill, for the vendors to set up. That might be a good location for these farmers market to consider. I don't know what would be involved in it but we always had a good success each year with using that and it's readily accessible from most all directions and it worked real good then. Another one, I know, sitting up in those chairs up there, you get plenty of criticizing. I'm going to throw a few roses your way. I want to thank you for adopting the recycling. I think everybody here knows how strongly I felt about recycling when we had it. I felt even stronger about it when we got away from it. I'm going to read you a real short thing out of the paper. 'Theresa Williams, Director of Winston-Salem Recycling Program, called in response to Friday's answer about cardboard collection – I'm going to skip a bunch of it --- They had a common collection point out there. The mess blowing into neighbors' yards and the truck drivers had to clear a path before they could open the bins to even empty them.' This is what ya'll saved our town from by not going along with the common thing that we were speaking against and as a party of one, I'd like to thank ya'll for re-activating our recycling and encourage everybody – every neighborhood – to take an active part in it. Thank you."

- 2) David Griffith
Chief Deputy Sheriff in Forsyth County

"I live in, my actual address is in Germanton. I was coming by this afternoon and saw the meeting sign and thought I'd stop in and introduce myself – I don't know many of you but give you a chance to see me. And as a council and as citizens, if ya'll have any concerns, any observations or any comments on law enforcement services that you receive from the Sheriff's office, please feel free to call me, talk to me tonight – at any time. We're trying hard to do a good job and, yes, we do go to Mickey's. But I'd be interested in getting feedback from you because this is your sheriff's department, your sheriff's office. It doesn't belong to me --- it doesn't belong to Sheriff Schatzman --- it belongs to you, the people of this county. So if you have any concerns, questions, comments, observations, please feel free to call on us at any time and let us know. I appreciate you giving me the time just to say that and I just wanted to stop by and give you that opportunity."

Mayor: "Now, David, I appreciate your coming. This is quite unusual. Would you mind answering a question if anybody has one for you?"

Mr. Griffith: "Be more than happy to. Do my best."

Mayor: "Does anybody have a question? We've never had a chief deputy to come out here...
.?"

DISCUSSION THEN TOOK PLACE BETWEEN THE AUDIENCE AND CHIEF DEPUTY GRIFFITH – QUESTIONS COULD NOT BE HEARD ON TAPE. TAPE WILL BE KEPT FOR SIX MONTHS IF ANYONE IS INTERESTED IN LISTENING.

- 3) Carole Wilson
2970 Avalee
Walkertown NC

"I'd like to ask a question. It has to do with that Mr. Sowers' development and Dempsey Street, that they were saying about wanting to open. I want to know, who has the authority to open or not open that road? If somebody can tell me that!"

Mayor: "We'll find out. I don't know who could tell you that tonight but we'll find out for you."

Mr. Linville: "This council has the authority to close that right-of-way. If an adjoining property owner buys that property, they have the authority to open it because that is their access."

Ms. Wilson: "Okay. So, like, Mr. Sowers has that property behind us and they want him to open it, can it be closed? Or, you know...."

Mr. Linville: "It cannot be closed today because I think there is a 5-acre lot that is landlocked except for that right-of-way that's Dempsey Drive and this council can't legally close that right-of-way. Now, if it's bought by one of the property owners on Avalee, or it's absorbed into one of those larger tracts that have road frontage, then that right-of-way can be closed."

Mr. Larrimore: "I talked to you before about it. I used to own your house and straight back and when I left, I sold what was behind me to Mr. Wagoner so Mr. Wagoner owns both sides of that behind you. I don't think, you know, if everything goes through and Mr. Sowers gets to do what he wants to do, he has no intentions of coming that direction. His drawing don't include it."

Ms. Wilson: "Okay. But I just --- I was just concerned....."

Mr. Larrimore: ".....You know, I'm not saying that I'm for the thing, or against the thing --- what I'm saying, the drawing, as it existed, backs up to you and the drive is circular -- it goes this way so there would be no need to do it. And I thought I cut it off when I sold Mr. Wagoner across the back there. But evidently....."

Ms. Wilson: ".....I just didn't....."

Mr. Larrimore: ".....Won't sneak back on us, but I hope it won't."

Ms. Wilson: "Well, I just wanted to know if he had the authority to go ahead and close it or if he wanted to open it or who could close it. That's what I wanted to know. I don't want to be surprised, wake up and all of a sudden, that road's going to be open and, you know, I'm sorry --- that's where I live. And it would go right alongside of my porch. And I just, you know, I'm just really upset about that road....."

Mr. Larrimore: ".....I know exactly how you feel. If I still lived there, I'd be feeling the same way."

Mr. Warner: "One thing, there, I think, and correct me if I'm wrong on this; as long as there's not landlocked property behind it, the owners on either side can petition for the closing, is that right?"

Mr. Linville: "That's correct."

Mr. Warner: "So, then, if it's landlocked, they can't petition."

Mr. Linville: "Yeah. It takes a petition from the landowners that adjoin that right-of-way and then a public hearing by the council."

Public Session was closed at 9:00 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

TOWN COUNCIL MEETINGS:

05-04-04	1:00 p.m.	Town Hall (Agenda Setting Meeting)
05-11-04	4:00 p.m.	Budget Meeting at the Library Auditorium
05-11-04	7:00 p.m.	Library Auditorium
05-25-04	7:00 p.m.	Library Auditorium

PLANNING BOARD MEETINGS:

04-17-04	4:00 p.m.	Town Hall – Pre-Meeting Session
04-18-04	3:00 p.m.	Library (Actual Meeting)

FESTIVAL COMMITTEE:

04-28-04

4:30 p.m.

Town Hall

SCHOOL COMMITTEE:

???

MISCELLANEOUS OTHER:

05-19-04

10:30 a.m.

Council/Staff – Duke Power Day

08-28-04

10:00 a.m.

Walkertown Community Day in the Park Festival

ADJOURNMENT @ 9:03 p.m.

MOTION: TO ADJOURN MEETING

BY: Wallace Larrimore

SECOND: Sarah Welch

VOTE: Unanimous

ATTEST:

TOWN OF WALKERTOWN:

By: _____

**Lynn McKinnie
Town Clerk**

By: _____

**Kenneth R. Davis
Mayor**